

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/269,972	04/08/1999	YUKIO NAKAJIMA	Q53854	1844	
7590 11/24/2006			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS			CRAIG, DWIN M		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NV				
WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123		

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/269,972	NAKAJIMA, YUKIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dwin M. Craig	2123		

	Dwin M. Craig	.2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 August 2006</u>. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TE below);	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(si		Impliant Amendment	(1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it hoforo or on the date of filing a N	latica of Annaal will no	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	. ,	
	1.11 -	7	
	1/1/2	Dwin McTaggart C	raio
	PAUL RODRIGUEZ	aggait O	3
S	IDEBVISORY PATENT EXAMINED		
S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		

Continuation of 13. Other: The newly proposed claims have changed the scope of the previously proposed claims and would required a new search .